

HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Douglas R. Hackler Sr., et al.

Confirmation No. 9150

Application No. 10/613,169

Customer No. 32642

Filed: July 3, 2003

For: MULTI-CONFIGURABLE

INDEPENDENTLY MULTI-GATED

MOSFET

Group Art Unit: 2814

Examiner: Phat X. Cao

Date: December 21, 2004

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant responds as follows to the September 21, 2004 Office Action requiring an election of species.

Claims 1-8 and 17-44 stand subject to a restriction under 35 USC § 121. The Examiner states that restriction to one of the following species of claims is required:

Group I: double-gated device having four terminals;

Group II: a lateral bipolar transistor having no connection to the bottom gate;

and

Group III: a DTMOS device having three terminals.

Applicant provisionally elects, as required and with traverse, Group I. The claims readable on Group I include claims 1-4, 7, 8, 17-20, 23-41, 44.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction of Claims 1-8 and 17-44 as the Office Action has not given a basis or provided reasons for requiring restriction between the three groups listed above. "Examiners must provide reasons and/or examples to support conclusions." MPEP 803. "Every requirement to restrict has two aspects: (A) the reasons (as distinguished from the mere statement of conclusion) why the inventions *as claimed* are either independent or distinct; and (B) the reasons for insisting upon restriction as set forth in the following sections." MPEP 808.

The Office Action only states that claims 1-8 and 17-44 are directed to patentably distinct species. However, the Office Action has not provided any reasons for distinction as required. The Applicants have a statement of conclusion and find it difficult to respond. A mere statement of conclusion is inadequate, and the reasons upon which the conclusion is based should be given. MPEP 816.

Furthermore, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, the Examiner must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: separate classification; separate status in the art when they are classified together; or a different field of search. MPEP 808.02 and 806.05(c). The Examiner has not provided any discussion of a separate classification, separate status in the art, or different field of search. Furthermore, the Office Action is only restricting six depending claims (5, 6, 21, 22, 42, and 43) which are specific to Groups II and III. These depending claims recite different configurations for local interconnections and do not have separate classifications, separate status in the art, or a different field of search.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw restriction of the members of the above listed three groups. The Examiner has not explained how members of the groups are independent and distinct, require separate classification, have a separate status in the art, a different field of search, and lack unity of invention. An Office Action for restriction requires the reasons why the proposed species are either independent or distinct and the reasons for insisting upon restriction. MPEP 808. Absent these reasons, the Applicants are unable to respond to reasons the Examiner may have for restriction.

If there are any remaining issues of the pending claims that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

 $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}$

ohn R. Thompson

STOEL RIVES LLP
One Utah Center Suite 1100
201 S Main Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-4904

Telephone: (801) 578-6994 Facsimile: (801) 578-6999

Attorney Docket No. 51889.2

TRANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. DEC 2 1 2004 51889/2 (General - Patent Pending) In Re Application Of: Douglas R. Hackler Sr., et al. Customer No. **Group Art Unit** Confirmation No. Application No. Filing Date Examiner Phat X. Cao 32642 2814 9150 10/612,169 July 3, 2003 Title: MULTI-CONFIGURED INDEPENDENTLY MULTI-GATED MOSFET **COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:** Transmitted herewith is: **Response to Restriction Requirement** Transmittal Letter Petition for Two-Month Extension of Time PTO-Form Charge Form 2038 charging the amount of \$225.00 Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8(a) "Express Mail" Label No. ER620043752US **Postcard** in the above identified application. □ No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-2375 as described below. Charge the amount of X Credit any overpayment. \boxtimes Charge any additional fee required. ☑ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Dated: 12/21/04 John R. Thompson Registration No. 40,842 **Stoel Rives LLP** I hereby certify that this correspondence One Utah Center deposited with the United States Postal Service with 201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope Salt Lake City, UT 84111 addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on Telephone: 801-578-6994 Facsimile: 801-578-6994 (Date) Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

				, T	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10) Applicant(s): Douglas R. Hackler, Sr., et al.				Docket No.	
				51	51889/2
Application No.	Filing Da	ate	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit
10/612,169	July 3, 2		Phat X. Cao	32642	2814
				OIPA	<u> </u>
Invention: MULTI-C	ONFIGURED I	NDEPENI	DENTLY MULTI-GATED MOSFET		
			•	DEC 2 1 2004	ر هُمُ (ا
				RADEMARY CH	<u> </u>
				PADEMARY	ř
I hereby certify that t	he following co	rresponde	ence:		
Response to Restric	tion Requireme	nt (includi	ng the items listed below)		
			dentify type of correspondence)		
in trainin demonstrad or	المحائما المطاطات			to Addrosoo" s	ondos undos 27
			stal Service "Express Mail Post Office		
CFR 1.10 in an enve	норе addresse	d to: Com	missioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450	, Alexandria, VA	22313-1450 ON
	2/21/	04			
	i (Dațe	y			
John R. T (Typed or Printed Name of Pers					dence)
() I R - A					
(Signature of Person Mailing Gorrespondence)					
("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)					
				,	
	Not	te: Each par	per must have its own certificate of mailing.		
	Transmitted:				
Transmitted: Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pgs.) Transmittal Letter (1 pg.)					

Transmittal Letter (1 pg.)
Petition for Two-Month Extension of Time (1 pg.)
PTO-Form 2038 charging the amount of \$225.00
Certificate of Mailing by "Express Mail" Label No. ER620043752US

Postcard